			·		
A. CLASS Int.	SIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER C1 ⁷ A61K31/216, 31/737, 31/78, 43/00//C08B37/00	35/80, A61P19/08, 19/1	10,		
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC					
B. FIELD	S SEARCHED				
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) Int.Cl ⁷ A61K31/216, 31/737, 31/78, 35/80, A61P19/08, 19/10, 43/00//C08B37/00					
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched					
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) CAPLUS (STN), REGISTRY (STN)					
C. DOCU	MENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT				
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where ap	propriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.		
Х	JP 7-109222 A (Maruha Corp.) 25 April, 1995 (25.04.95), (Family: none)		1-9		
×	JP 2002-226380 A (Maruho Kabushiki Kaisha), 1-9 14 August, 2002 (14.08.02), (Family: none)				
x	JP 6-22728 A (Asahi Chemical 01 February, 1994 (01.02.94), (Family: none)		1-9		
× Furth	er documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.	See patent family annex.			
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means		"Y" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document member of the same patent family			
Date of the a	ebruary, 2004 (03.02.04)	Date of mailing of the international search report 02 March, 2004 (02.03.04)			
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ Japanese Patent Office		Authorized officer			
Facsimile No.		Telephone No.			

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	EP 807437 A1 (HOECHST AG.), 19 November, 1997 (19.11.97), Particularly, Claim 7 & JP 10-53531 A 24 February, 1998 (24.02.98), particularly, Claim 7	1-9
X	JP 3-287538 A (Sunstar Inc.), 18 December, 1991 (18.12.91), (Family: none)	1-9
Х	JP 7-101871 A (Lion Corp.), 18 April, 1995 (18.04.95), (Family: none)	10-11
х	WO 97/25998 A1 (GUTIERREZ, Gilles), 24 July, 1997 (24.07.97), & JP 11-502235 A 23 February, 1999 (23.02.99), & US 5961981 A 05 October, 1999 (05.10.99)	10-11
Y	<pre>JP 2000-344672 A (Meiji Milk Products Co., Ltd.), 12 December, 2000 (12.12.00), (Family: none)</pre>	1-9
Y	US 5621009 A (KUREHA CHEMICAL INDUSTRY CO., LTD.), 15 April, 1997 (15.04.97), & JP 7-25759 A 27 January, 1995 (27.01.95)	1-9
Y	WO 01/076580 Al (Takara Bio Kabushiki Kaisha), 18 October, 2001 (18.10.01), Particularly, Claim 2 (Family: none)	1-9
P,X	WO 02/098449 A1 (NIPPON MEAT PACKERS, INC.), 12 December, 2002 (12.12.02), & JP 2003-48850 A 21 February, 2003 (21.02.03)	1-9
P,X	JP 2003-26597 A (Shizuoka-Ken), 29 January, 2003 (29.01.03), (Family: none)	1-9
P,X	JP 2004-2375 A (Yasukazu OKUTANI), 05 January, 2004 (05.01.04), (Family: none)	1-2,4-5,7-8

Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)				
This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:				
1. Claims Nos.:	·			
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:				
·				
2. Claims Nos.:	•			
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribe extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:	d requirements to such an			
and the second s				
3. Claims Nos.:				
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third se	entences of Rule 6.4(a).			
Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)				
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follow (See extra sheet.)	ws:			
(See extra Sheet.)				
As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search	report covers all scarchable			
claims.	report covers an scarchable			
2. X As all scarchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Author	ity did not invite payment			
of any additional fee.				
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this internal	ational search report covers			
only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:				
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this internati	onal search report is			
restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:	onar scarcii report is			
	.]			
Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.				
No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.				
roo protest accompanied the payment of additional scatch fees.				

Continuation of Box No.II of continuation of first sheet(1)

Medicinal use of enhancing osteogenic protein production or promoting osteogenesis has been publicly known per se. From this viewpoint, claims 1 to 11 include inventions of drugs containing, as the active ingredient, anacidic saccharide, polyacrylic acid, chlorogenic acid or an alga-origin extract but these 4 components do not fall within a group of compounds having been recognized as relating to a group in the art. Thus, claims 1 to 11 have no special technical feature in common. Thus, the present international application does not comply with the requirement of unity of invention.

Since there is no other matter being common to all claims and seemingly being a special technical feature, the present case has 4 groups of inventions.